arXiv:1602.02440v2 [math.NT] 9 Feb 2016

On the least square-free primitive root modulo p

Stephen D. Cohen
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Glasgow, Scotland
Stephen.Cohen@glasgow.ac.uk and

Tim Trudgian*
Mathematical Sciences Institute
The Australian National University, ACT 0200, Australia
timothy.trudgian@anu.edu.au

February 10, 2016

Abstract

Let $g^{\square}(p)$ denote the least square-free primitive root modulo p. We show that $g^{\square}(p) < p^{0.96}$ for all p.

1 Introduction

Let $\hat{g}(p)$ denote the least prime primitive root modulo p. It is not known whether $\hat{g}(p) < p$ for all p, or even for all sufficiently large p. The best unconditional result is due to Ha [3], namely, that $\hat{g}(p) \ll p^{3.1}$. On the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis it is known [7] that $\hat{g}(p) \ll (\log p)^{6+\epsilon}$, and, recently, it was shown in [5] that $\hat{g}(p) < \sqrt{p} - 2$ for all $p > 2791$.

Rather than consider prime primitive roots, we consider the broader (and easier) case of square-free primitive roots. An integer n is said to be *square-free* if for all primes $l|n$ we have $l^2 \nmid n$. Let $g^{\square}(p)$ denote the least square-free primitive root modulo p, and let $N^{\square}(p, x)$ denote the number of square-free primitive roots modulo p that do not exceed x. Shapiro [6, p. 355] showed that

$$N^{\square}(p, x) = \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\left\{\frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O(2^{\omega(p-1)}p^{1/4}(\log p)^{1/2}x^{1/2}\right\}. \tag{1}$$

*Supported by Australian Research Council DECRA Grant DE120100173.

This shows that $N^{\square}(p, p^{1/2+\epsilon}) > 0$ for any positive ϵ and for all sufficiently large p. Equivalently, this means that $g(p) \ll p^{1/2+\epsilon}$.

The error term in (1) has been improved by Liu and Zhang [4, Thm 1.1], who showed

$$N^{\square}(p,x) = \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\left\{\frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O\left(p^{9/44+\epsilon}x^{1/2+\epsilon}\right)\right\}, \tag{2}$$

whence one has that $g^{\square}(p) \ll p^{9/22+\epsilon}$. Rather than focus on (2) we seek a version of (1) in order to bound $g^{\square}(p)$ explicitly. We do this in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *$g^{\square}(p) < p^{0.96}$ for all p. In particular all primes p possess a square-free primitive root less than p.*

We note that using (1) does not allow one to show that $g^{\square}(p) \ll p^{1/2}$. However, based on computational evidence, the bound in (2) and recent work in [2, 5] it seems reasonable to extrapolate, as below.

Conjecture 1. *For all $p > 409$ we have $g^{\square}(p) < \sqrt{p} - 2$.*

The outline of this paper is as follows. In §2 we collect the necessary results to make (1) explicit. In §3 we introduce a sieving inequality. We also carry out some rudimentary computations, which prove Theorem 1. Finally, in §4 we discuss a related problem on square-full primitive roots. Throughout this article we write $n = \square - \text{free}$ to indicate that n is a square-free integer.

2 Preliminary Results

The following establishes an indicator function on primitive roots.

$$f(x) := \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\sum_{d|p-1}\frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)}\sum_{x\in\Gamma_d}\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a primitive root mod } p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\square}(p,x) &= \sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-\text{free}}} f(x) \\ &= \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\left\{\sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-\text{free}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{d|p-1 \\ d>1}}\frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)}\sum_{x\in\Gamma_d}\sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-\text{free}}}\chi(n)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now, to estimate the inner-most sum in (4) we write

$$\sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-\text{free}}}\chi(n) = \sum_{n\le x}\chi(n)\sum_{d^2|n}\mu(d) = \sum_{d\le\sqrt{x}}\mu(d)\sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n\equiv 0 \,(\text{mod } d^2)}}\chi(n).$$

Upon taking absolute values and using the bound

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ d^2)}} \chi(n) \right| \le \min\left\{ \frac{x}{d^2}, \sqrt{p}\log p \right\}, \tag{5}$$

we find that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-\mathrm{free}}} \chi(n) \right| \le 2x^{1/2}p^{1/4}(\log p)^{1/2}. \tag{6}$$

Finally, we need an estimate on the number of square-free numbers not exceeding x, which we borrow from [1, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 1 (Cipu)**.** *For all $x \ge 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n\le x \\ n=\square-free}} 1 \ge \frac{6}{\pi^2}x - 0.104\sqrt{x}.$$

While sharper estimates are known for $x \ge x_0 > 1$, the bound in Lemma 1 is sufficient for our purposes. Therefore, by (4) we have that $N^{\square}(p,x) > 0$ if

$$G(x) := x^{1/2}p^{-1/4} - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(\frac{0.104}{p^{1/4}} + 2^{\omega(p-1)+1}(\log p)^{1/2} \right) > 0. \tag{7}$$

Setting $x = p^{0.96}$ in (7) shows that $G(p^{0.96}) > 0$ for all p with $\omega(p-1) \ge 30$.

Rather than consider all remaining cases of $\omega(p-1)$, we make use of the calculations in [2, §4], namely that

$$\hat{g}(p) < \sqrt{p} - 2, \quad (2791 < p < 2.5\cdot 10^{15}). \tag{8}$$

We use this to take care of the cases $1 \le \omega(p-1) \le 7$. For example, when $\omega(p-1) = 7$ we find that $G(p^{0.96}) > 0$ for all $p > 5.5\cdot 10^{14}$. Hence we need only consider those $p \le 5.5\cdot 10^{14}$, which are covered by (8). We continue in this way and dispatch the cases $1 \le \omega(p-1) \le 7$.

3 A sieving inequality and is consequences

3.1 Sieving

Given the prime p, let e be any divisor of $p-1$. Call an integer n indivisible by p *e-free* if $n \equiv m^d (\mathrm{mod}\ p), d|e$, for some integer m, implies $d = 1$. (In particular, if $e = 2$, be aware that, in this sense, being 2-*free* has a different meaning from being *square-free*.) Observe that the definition e-free depends only on the distinct primes dividing e and that, in particular, n is a primitive root if and only if n is $(p-1)$-free. Extend the definition of the characteristic function (3) as follows.

$$f_e(x) := \frac{\phi(e)}{e}\sum_{d|e}\frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)}\sum_{x\in\Gamma_d}\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is } e\text{-free} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Now, given p and x with $x < p$, let $N_e^{\square}(p,x)$ denote the number of square-free and e-free positive integers n that do not exceed x. Thus, $N^{\square}(p,x) = N_{p-1}^{\square}(x)$. From (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_e^{\square}(p,x) &= \sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n=\square-\text{free}}} f_e(x) \\ &= \frac{\phi(e)}{e}\left\{ \sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n=\square-\text{free}}} 1 + \sum_{d\mid e, d>1} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{x\in\Gamma_d} \sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n=\square-\text{free}}} \chi(n) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Next, let k be a divisor of $\mathrm{Rad}(p-1)$, the *radical* of $p-1$ (i.e., the product of the distinct primes dividing $p-1$). Write

$$\mathrm{Rad}(p-1) = kp_1\cdots p_s, \tag{11}$$

where $1 \le s \le \omega(p-1)$ and $p_1,\dots,p_s$ are distinct primes and the *core* k is the product of the $\omega(p-1)-s$ smallest (distinct) primes dividing $p-1$. We describe this situation as *sieving with core k and s sieving primes.* A useful inequality for $N^{\square}(p,x)$ is now proved as in Lemma 3.1 of [2].

Lemma 2. *Given the prime p, assume that* (11) *holds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\square}(p,x) &\ge \sum_{i=1}^{s} N_{kp_i}^{\square}(p,x) - (s-1)N_k^{\square}(p,x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left\{ N_{kp_i}^{\square}(p,x) - \left(1-\frac{1}{p_i}\right) N_k^{\square}p,(x)\right\} + \delta N_k^{\square}(p,x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{s}\frac{1}{p_i}. \tag{12}$$

Now, by (10), (6) and Lemma 1, we have

$$N_k^{\square}(p,x) \ge \frac{\phi(k)}{k}(x^{1/2}p^{1/4})\left\{ \frac{6}{\pi^2}(x^{1/2}p^{-1/4}) - \frac{0.104}{p^{1/4}} - 2^{\omega(k)+1}(\log p)^{1/2}\right\}. \tag{13}$$

Similarly, for each $i = 1,\dots,s$, since $\frac{\phi(kp_i)}{kp_i} = \left(1-\frac{1}{p_i}\right)\frac{\phi(k)}{k}$, we have

$$\left| N_{kp_i}^{\square}(p,x) - \left(1-\frac{1}{p_i}\right) N_k^{\square}(p,x)\right| \le \frac{\phi(k)}{k}(x^{1/2}p^{1/4})\left(1-\frac{1}{p_i}\right) 2^{\omega(k)+1}(\log p)^{1/2} \tag{14}$$

(using also the fact that $2^{\omega(kp_i)} - 2^{\omega(k)} = 2^{\omega(k)}$).

Theorem 2. *Given the prime p, assume that* (11) *holds. Suppose that δ (defined by* (12)*) is positive and set*

$$\Delta = \frac{s-1}{\delta} + 2.$$

Suppose also that

$$G_s(x) := x^{1/2}p^{-1/4} - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(\frac{0.104}{p^{1/4}} + 2^{\omega(k)+1}\Delta(\log p)^{1/2}\right) > 0. \tag{15}$$

Then p possesses a square-free primitive root less than x.

Proof. Apply (13) and (14) to Lemma 2 and use the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_i}\right) = s-1+\Delta$. □

3.2 Application of Theorem 2

As noted already, we need only consider $8 \le \omega(p-1) \le 29$. For example, when $\omega(p-1) = 29$ the choice $s = 26$ shows that (15) is true for all $p > 9.2\cdot 10^{18}$. Since $p-1$ has 29 distinct prime factors we have $p-1 \ge 2\cdot 3\cdots p_{29} > 2.8\cdot 10^{44}$, which proves Theorem 1 for $\omega(p-1) = 29$. We continue in this way, keeping in mind (8). Choosing $s = \omega(p-1)-3$ for $14 \le \omega(p-1) \le 29$ and $s = \omega(p-1)-2$ for $8 \le \omega(p-1) \le 12$ completes the proof of Theorem 1 for every case except $\omega(p-1) = 13$.

When $\omega(p-1) = 13$ we choose $s = 10$, whence we require $p > 3.34\cdot 10^{15}$ to satisfy (15). Therefore one needs to check $p \in [2.5\cdot 10^{15}, 3.34\cdot 10^{15}]$. Since p is odd we have $2|p-1$; also, we must have $3|p-1$ since otherwise $p-1 \ge 2\cdot 5\cdots p_{14} > 4.3\cdot 10^{15}$, which satisfies (15).

One can now proceed as in [5, §3.1]. We begin by noting that when $s = 10$ we have $\delta > 1-1/7-1/11-\cdots-1/p_{13} > 0.416$. If $5 \nmid p-1$ then we have $\delta > 1-1/11-\cdots-1/p_{14} > 0.535$. This larger value of δ shows that (15) is true for all $p > 1.2\cdot 10^{15}$. We continue, with the choice $s = 10$ to show that $5, \ldots, 19$ all divide $p-1$. Finally, with $s = 11$ we conclude that $23|p-1$.

Since $p-1 = m\cdot 2\cdots 23$, and, since $2.5\cdot 10^{15} \le p \le 3.34\cdot 10^{15}$ we conclude that there are less than $4\cdot 10^6$ possible numbers which may not satisfy (15). Only 518 of these give rise to primes p with $\omega(p-1) = 13$. For each of these possible exceptions we compute the *exact* value of δ, rather than merely a lower bound. We then eliminate many of these cases by feeding these δ's into Theorem 2: this leaves a list of 25 possible exceptions the smallest of which is

$$2,513,954,577,154,020.$$

Owing to the abundance of square-free primitive roots, we merely verify that each of these 25 numbers contains a square-free primitive root less than 100.

We note that our method allows one to prove $g^{\square}(p) < p^{\alpha}$ for all $p \ge p_0(\alpha)$, where $p_0(\alpha)$ is given explicitly, and where $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. For example, we are able to show that $g^{\square}(p) < p^{3/4}$ for all $p > 1.2\cdot 10^{34}$.

We also note that in (5) we have used the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality

$$\left| \sum_{M<n\leq N} \chi(n) \right| \leq \sqrt{p} \log p, \tag{16}$$

valid for all non-principal characters $\chi(n)$ to the modulus p. The use of sharper versions of (16) would improve (6) and lead to an improvement in Theorem 1. Finally since 2 is the only primitive root modulo 3 one could not aim to produce a version of Theorem 1, holding for all primes, with an exponent less than $\log 2/\log 3 = 0.6309\ldots$.

4 Square-full primitive roots

Less is known about $g^{\blacksquare}(p)$, the number of square-full primitive roots modulo p. An integer n is square-full if for all primes $l|n$ we have $l^2|n$. Let $N^{\blacksquare}(p,x)$ denote the number of square-full primitive roots modulo p not exceeding x. Shapiro [6, p. 307] proved that

$$N^{\blacksquare}(p,x) = \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\left\{Cx^{1/2} + O(2^{\omega(p-1)}p^{1/6}(\log p)^{1/3}x^{1/3})\right\}, \tag{17}$$

where C is an explicit constant. Liu and Zhang [4, Thm 1.2] showed that

$$N^{\blacksquare}(p,x) = \frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1}\left\{Cx^{1/2} + O(p^{9/44+\epsilon}x^{1/4+\epsilon})\right\}, \tag{18}$$

whence $g^{\blacksquare}(p) \ll p^{9/11+\epsilon}$.

It would be interesting to estimate the size of p_0 such that $g^{\blacksquare}(p) < p$ for all $p \geq p_0$. Shapiro's result (17) is insufficient to show this; Liu and Zhang's result (18) is based on arguments out of which it would be difficult to derive explicit constants. We are grateful to Adrian Dudek who computed that $1,052,041$ is the largest prime p with $p \leq 3\cdot 10^6$ that does not have a square-full primitive root less than p.

References

[1] M. Cipu Further remarks on Diophantine quintuples. *Acta. Arith.* 168(3), 201–219, 2015.

[2] S. D. Cohen, T. Oliveira e Silva, and T. S. Trudgian. On Grosswald's conjecture on primitive roots. *Acta. Arith.* 172(3), 263–270, 2016

[3] Ha, J. On the least prime primitive root. *J. Number Theory* 133(11), 3645–3669, 2013.

[4] Liu, H. and Zhang, W. On the squarefree and squarefull numbers *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 45(2):247–255, 2005.

[5] K. McGown, E. Treviño, and T. S. Trudgian. Resolving Grosswald's conjecture on GRH. *Funct. Approx.* To appear.

[6] Shapiro, H. N. *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers.* Pure and Applied Mathematics. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1983.

[7] Shoup, V. Searching for primitive roots in finite fields. *Math. Comp.* 58, 369–380, 1992.